

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,447	09/08/2003	Timothy Crowley	9138-0098US	4731	
28529	7590 12/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P. A. 2575 E. CAMELBACK RD. #1100			KIM, SUN U		
PHOENIX, A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1723		

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,447	CROWLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Kim	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	'SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-64 are subject to restriction and/or expending the application.	vn from consideration.	· .	·			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	2)			

Art Unit: 1723

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 3-6, drawn to a method of making an implement for use with treatment or analysis of a liquid comprising devising a set of heuristic rules from the behavior of the liquid on a scale of the scale of the instrument to be made, classified in class 702, subclass 127.
- II. Claims 2, 7-16 and 43-52, drawn to an instrument for the observation, treatment or analysis of a liquid including electro optical means, classified in class 422, subclass 82.05.
- III. Claims 17-21, drawn to an instrument for monitoring capillary pressure, classified in class 73, subclass 700.
- IV. Claims 22-28, drawn to a method of illuminating a substantially clear liquid specimen for observation, classified in class 385, subclass 901.
- V. Claims 29-42, drawn to a liquid specimen test device comprising a closure covering flow channels, filters, collection regions, expanded output flow channels and at least one vent line, classified in class 422, subclass 68.1.
- VI. Claims 53-57, drawn to a method of fabricating a passive, liquid specimen handling device including exposing the photoresist to U.V. light through a mask, classified in class 264, subclass 405.
- VII. Claims 58-59, drawn to a micro-engineered blood separation device, classified in class 422, subclass 50.
- VIII. Claims 60-63, drawn to a method of measuring % hematocrit of a blood specimen, classified in class 600, subclass 368.

IX. Claim 64, drawn to a method of designing a device, classified in class 29, subclass 592.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and any of II-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and any of II-IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions II and any of I and III-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions II and any of I and III-IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions III and any of I-II and IV-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions III and any of I-II and IV-IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions IV and any of I-III and V-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the

Page 4

instant case the different inventions IV and any of I-III and V-IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions V and any of I-IV and VI-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions V and any of I-IV and VI-IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions VI and any of I-V and VII-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions VI and any of I-V and VII-IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions VII and any of I-VI and VIII-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions VII and any of I-VI and VIII-IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions VIII and any of I-VII and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the

instant case the different inventions VIII and any of I-VII and IX are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions IX and any of I-VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions IX and any of I-VIII are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 (claims 2, 7-12): instrument with electro optical means.

Species 2 (claims 13-16, 43-52): instrument with weir or slit in channels.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1723

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Thomas MacBlain on 12/21/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. MacBlain requested a written restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/658,447 Page 7

Art Unit: 1723

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1142. The

examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda Walker, can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Kim

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

J. Kim

December 22, 2004